Written option for research studies

If for any reason you are not able to participate in research studies, you may complete article summaries to earn research credit. This page contains an explanation of the requirements and a link to the Murdoch Library's [**My Unit Readings list**](https://rl.talis.com/3/murdoch/lists/5B30BCCB-4666-5CE1-A615-7DBD3359965F.html?lang=en&login=1)**.** The My Unit Readings page includes the list of eligible papers. On the My Unit Readings page, clicking on an article will take you to a copy of that article that you can download or read online. You must have PDF viewer software to open these files. If you do not have this software, you can use a computer in a University computer lab to get access.
Successfully completing a summary counts for one credit toward your experimental participation requirement. You may complete as many assignments as you wish. Article summaries are graded credit/no credit and may take up to a week to be reflected in your credit total on the SONA site.

Summary Instructions
Select a journal article from the list of articles included in [My Unit Readings](http://clicktime.symantec.com/33EGCq3kU1BbmXBUU1DFus67Vc?u=https%3A%2F%2Frl.talis.com%2F3%2Fmurdoch%2Flists%2F5B30BCCB-4666-5CE1-A615-7DBD3359965F.html%3Flogin%3D1). Read the article carefully. Then, write a paper that summarizes the key points of the article. What is the main point of the article? What are the authors trying to say about how humans relate to their world? Consider some of the questions below. You do not need to respond to each of the questions, they are simply presented to help you think about the main message of the article.

1. What did previous research say about the phenomenon studied in the article? Was there consistency in the literature?
2. What did the authors hope to find? Was it different from what the previous research proposed?
3. What did the authors do to test their hypothesis? What procedure did the authors use to test their hypotheses?
4. What did the authors manipulate/measure in their study?
5. What were the main findings of their paper? Were any findings inconsistent with the authors' expectations?
6. What did the authors conclude from their findings?
7. What problems/issues did you identify with their research? Did it affect the degree to which the findings could be considered valid? If so, how so?

This summary is to be written IN YOUR OWN WORDS. Do not include block quotes. Any indication of academic misconduct will be immediately submitted to the academic integrity office.

Document Specifics
Each summary must be:

1. at least two pages, but no more than three pages, in length.
2. double spaced, with 12pt Times New Roman Font and 1" margins.
3. a MS Word (.docx) document.
4. headed by the following information (which is to be located in the top left corner of the first page): your name, student ID, class code and instructor, e-mail address, and the title of the article being summarized.
5. filenamed using the following protocol: instructor's last name, your last name with your first initial, student number, and class code, with each element separated by underscores. For example, "Stumpf\_ChongJ\_12345678\_BSC201" for student    Jun Chong whose BSC201 instructor is named Robert Stumpf.
6. e-mailed to: matt.montoya@murdoch.edu.au with the subject, Written Option
7. received by the end of the first day of final exams. **NO EXCEPTIONS**.

Only ONE summary per document. For instance, if you complete three summaries, attach three separate Word files to the one e-mail. Submissions that do not adhere to each guideline will **not** be accepted. Submissions that violate the guidelines will be returned without comment.